

Midterm Intro to Logic - 13 Dec. 2017 - Model Answers

1 a. Translation key:

O: the human body has enough oxygen

B: the heart pumps blood around

L: the lungs work

Translation: $\neg O \vee (B \wedge L)$ also correct is the logically equivalent: $\neg(B \wedge L) \rightarrow \neg O$

b. A: you are ambitious

C: you want to go for a 'cum laude' bachelor's degree

E: you have to get at least an 8 on average

R: you can take re-sit exams

Translation: $(A \wedge C) \rightarrow (E \wedge \neg R)$

2 Translation key:

a: Aron

b: Bas

c: Chris

d: Danya

H(x,y): x hates y

L(x,y): x loves y

S(x,y): x and y are siblings

a) $(L(a,c) \wedge L(b,c)) \leftrightarrow (\neg H(c,a) \wedge \neg H(c,b))$. Also correct:
 $(L(a,c) \wedge L(b,c)) \leftrightarrow \neg (H(c,a) \vee H(c,b))$ b) $(L(c,a) \vee (L(d,a) \wedge L(b,a))) \rightarrow S(a,b)$ It would also be correct to use a predicate like
 $G(x,y)$: x is sibling to y. Then the translation
would be: $(L(c,a) \vee ((L(d,a) \wedge L(b,a)))) \rightarrow (G(a,b) \wedge G(b,a))$

- 3 a) 1. $A \rightarrow C$
2. $\neg D \rightarrow \neg B$

3 $A \vee B$

4 A

5 C

\rightarrow Elim: 1, 4

6 $C \vee D$

\vee Intro: 5

7 B

8 $\neg D$

\rightarrow Elim: 2, 8

9 $\neg B$

\perp Intro: 7, 9

10 \perp

\neg Intro: 8-10

11 $\neg \neg D$

\neg Elim: 11

12 D

\vee Intro: 12

13 $C \vee D$

\vee Elim: 3, 4-6, 7-13

14 $C \vee D$

15 $(A \vee B) \rightarrow (C \vee D)$ \rightarrow Intro: 3-14

- 3 b) 1. $\neg (a = a \wedge P(b))$

2. $a = b$

3 $P(a)$

4 $P(b)$ = Elim: 3, 2

5 $a = a$ = Intro

6. $a = a \wedge P(b)$ \wedge Intro: 5, 4

7 \perp \perp Intro: 6, 1

8 $\neg P(a)$

\neg Intro: 3-7

3 c)

1	P	
2	$\neg P$	
3	P	Rest: 1
4.	$\neg P \rightarrow P$	\rightarrow Intro: 2-3
5	$\neg P \rightarrow P$	
6	$\neg P$	
7	P	\rightarrow Elim: 5,6
8	\perp	\perp Intro: 7,6
9	$\neg \neg P$	\neg Intro: 6-8
10	P	\neg Elim: 9
11	$P \leftrightarrow (\neg P \rightarrow P)$	\leftrightarrow Intro: 1-4, 5-10

4 a)	P	Q	R	$((Q \leftrightarrow \neg R) \vee (P \leftrightarrow \neg Q)) \vee (\neg P \leftrightarrow \neg R)$
	T	T	T	F F F F F T F T F
	T	T	F	T T T F F T F F T
	T	F	T	T F T T T T F F T
	T	F	F	F T T T T T F F T
	F	T	T	F F T T F T T F F
	F	T	F	T T T T F T T T T
	F	F	T	T F T F T T T F F
	F	F	F	F T F F T T T T T

Conclusion: because the formula is true in all 8 rows of the truth table, it is indeed a tautology (tautological truth)

4b.	$a=b$	Medium(a)	Large(b)	Medium(a) \leftrightarrow \neg Large(b)
1)	T	T	T	F F
2)	T	T	F	T T
3)	T	F	T	T F
4)	T	F	F	F T
5)	F	T	T	F F
6)	F	T	F	T T
7)	F	F	T	T F
8)	F	F	F	F T

Row 1 is spurious
 Medium(a) \leftrightarrow \neg Large(b) is not a logical consequence of $a=b$, because there is a non-spurious row, namely row 4, in which $a=b$ is true, but Medium(a) \leftrightarrow \neg Large(b) is false.

$$\begin{aligned}
 5a) \quad & \neg(P \leftrightarrow Q) \rightarrow \neg\neg(P \rightarrow R) \stackrel{\neg\neg}{\equiv} \neg(P \leftrightarrow Q) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \stackrel{\text{def } \leftrightarrow}{\equiv} \neg((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee P)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \stackrel{\text{def } \rightarrow, 2 \times}{\equiv} \\
 & \neg\neg((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee P)) \vee \neg(P \rightarrow R) \stackrel{\neg\neg}{\equiv} ((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee P)) \vee (\neg P \vee R)
 \end{aligned}$$

This sentence is in NNF

$$\begin{aligned}
 5b) \quad & \neg(P \vee R) \vee (Q \wedge (P \rightarrow S)) \stackrel{\text{de Morgan}}{\equiv} (\neg P \wedge \neg R) \vee (Q \wedge (P \rightarrow S)) \stackrel{\text{def } \rightarrow}{\equiv} (\neg P \wedge \neg R) \vee (Q \wedge (\neg P \vee S)) \stackrel{\text{distrib.}}{\equiv} \\
 & ((\neg P \wedge \neg R) \vee Q) \wedge [(\neg P \wedge \neg R) \vee (\neg P \vee S)] \stackrel{\text{distr, ex}}{\equiv} (\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg R \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \vee S \vee \neg P) \wedge (\neg P \vee S \vee \neg R)
 \end{aligned}$$

The latter formula is in CNF (Though can be simplified)

6)	a	T	f	T
	b	T	g	F
	c	T	h	T
	d	F	i	F
	e	T	j	F

Bonus

7

$$1 \quad \neg \left((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R) \vee (R \rightarrow P) \right)$$

$$2 \quad P$$

$$3 \quad R$$

$$4 \quad \neg P$$

Rest: 2

$$5 \quad R \rightarrow P$$

 \rightarrow Intro: 3-4

$$6 \quad (P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R) \vee (R \rightarrow P)$$

 \vee Intro: 5

$$7 \quad \perp$$

 \perp Intro: 6, 1

$$8 \quad \neg P$$

 \neg Intro: 2-7

$$9 \quad P$$

$$10 \quad \perp$$

 \perp Intro: 9, 8

$$11 \quad Q$$

 \perp Elim: 10

$$12 \quad P \rightarrow Q$$

 \rightarrow Intro: 9-11

$$13 \quad (P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R) \vee (R \rightarrow P)$$

 \vee Intro: 12

$$14 \quad \perp$$

 \perp Intro: 13, 1

$$15 \quad \neg \neg \left((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R) \vee (R \rightarrow P) \right)$$

 \neg Intro: 1-14

$$16 \quad (P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R) \vee (R \rightarrow P)$$

 \neg Elim: 15

Many alternative correct proofs have been submitted, e.g. first proving $Q \vee \neg Q$, and then showing that in both cases, $(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)$ holds. Then the main conclusion is reached by \vee Elim and \vee Intro.